

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF RESEARCH IN SCIENCE & TECHNOLOGY

e-ISSN:2249-0604; p-ISSN: 2454-180X

Common Fixed Point Theorems for Four Selfmaps of a Compact S–Metric Space

Upender S

Associate Professor of Mathematics, Tara Government College (Autonomous), Sangareddy - 502001, India

Paper Received: 19th May, 2021; Paper Accepted: 19th June, 2021;

Paper Published: 27th June, 2021

DOI: http://doi.org/10.37648/ijrst.v11i02.007

How to cite the article:

Upender S, Common Fixed Point Theorems for Four Selfmaps of a Compact S-Metric Space, IJRST, Apr-Jun 2021, Vol 11, Issue 2, 73-82, DOI: http://doi.org/10.37648/ijrst.v11i02.007



ABSTRACT

The purpose of this paper is to prove a common fixed point theorem for four selfmaps on a S-metric space and deduce a common fixed point theorem for four selfmaps on a compact S-metric space. Further we show that a common fixed point theorem for four selfmaps of a metric space prove by Brian Fisher ([5]) is a particular case of our theorem.

Mathematics Subject Classification: 47H10, 54H25.

Keywords: S-metric space; Compatible; Fixed point theorem.

1. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES:

Fixed point theory is an important discipline in mathematics because of its results which are utilized to investigate the existence of solutions for the problems in applied sciences and engineering. Many fixed point results have been widely generalized throughout the years in various directions by introducing new metric spaces and setting of new contraction mappings. The results in fixed point theory can be noticed in geometry, computational algorithms, economics, fluid dynamics, micro-structures. nonlinear sciences. medical fields and optimization theory.

On the other hand, some authors are interested and have tried to give generalizations of metric spaces in different ways. In 1963 Gahler [6] gave the concepts of 2- metric space further in 1992 Dhage [2] modified the concept of 2- metric space and introduced the concepts of D-metric space also proved fixed point theorems for

selfmaps of such spaces. Later researchers have made a significant contribution to fixed point of D- metric spaces in [1], [3], and [4]. Unfortunately almost all the fixed point theorems proved on D-metric spaces are not valid in view of papers [7], [8] and [9]. Sedghi et al. [10] modified the concepts of D- metric space and introduced the concepts of D*- metric space also proved a common fixed point theorems in D*- metric space.

Recently, Sedghi et al [11] introduced the concept of S- metric space which is different from other space and proved fixed point theorems in S-metric space. They also gives some examples of S- metric spaces which shows that S- metric space is different from other spaces. In fact they give following concepts of S- metric space.

Definition 1.1([11]): Let X be a non-empty set. An S-metric space on X is a function S: $X^3 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ that satisfies the following conditions, for each x, y, z, a $\in X$

(i)
$$S(x, y, z) \ge 0$$

(ii) S(x, y, z) = 0 if and only if x = y = z.

(iii)
$$S(x, y, z) \le S(x, x, a) + S(y, y, a) + S(z, z, a)$$

The pair (X, S) is called an **S**—**metric space**. Immediate examples of such S-metric spaces are:

Example1.2: Let \mathbb{R} be the real line. Then S(x, y, z) = |x - y| + |y - z| + |z - x| for each $x, y, z \in \mathbb{R}$ is an S-metric on \mathbb{R} . This S-metric is called the usual S-metric on \mathbb{R} .

Example 1.3: Let $X = \mathbb{R}^2$, d be the ordinary metric on X.

Put S(x, y, z) = d(x, y) + d(y, z) + d(z, x) is an S- metric on X. If we connect the points x, y, z by a line, we have a triangle and if we choose a point a mediating this triangle then the inequality $S(x, y, z) \le S(x, x, a) + S(y, y, a) + S(z, z, a)$ holds. In fact

$$S(x, y, z) = d(x, y) + d(y, z) + d(z, x)$$

$$\leq d(x, a) + d(a, y) + d(y, a) + d(a, x)$$

$$z) + d(z, a) + d(a, x)$$

$$= S(x, x, a) + S(y, y, a) + S(z, z, a)$$

Example 1. 4: Let $X = \mathbb{R}^n$ and $\| \cdot \|$ a norm on X, then $S(x, y, z) = \|x - z\| + \|y - z\|$ is an S-metric on X.

Remark1. 5: it is easy to see that every D*-metric is S-metric, but in general the converse is not true, see the following example.

Example 1. 6: Let $X = \mathbb{R}^n$ and $\| \cdot \|$ a norm on X, then $S(x, y, z) = \|y + z - 2x\| + \|y - z\|$ is an S-metric on X, but it is not D*-metric because it is not symmetric.

Lemma1. 7: In an S-metric space, we have S(x, x, y) = S(y, y, x).

Proof: By the thi<mark>rd</mark> condition of S-metric, we get

$$S(x, x, y) \le S(x, x, x) + S(x, x, x) + S(y, y, x) = S(y, y, x).....(1)$$
and similarly

$$S(y, y, x) \le S(y, y, y) + S(y, y, y) + S(x, x, y) = S(x, x, y).....(2)$$

Hence, by (1) and (2), we obtain S(x, x, y) = S(y, y, x).

Definition 1.8: Let (X, S) be an S-metric space. For $x \in X$ and r > 0, we define the open ball $B_S(x, r)$ and closed ball $B_S[x, r]$ with a center x and a radius r as follows

$$B_S(x, r) = \{ y \in X; \, S(x, y, y) < r \}$$

$$B_S[x,r]=\{y\in X;\, S(x,y,y)\leq r\}$$

For example, Let $X = \mathbb{R}$. Denote S(x, y, z) = |

$$y + z - 2x \mid + \mid y - z \mid \text{ for all } x, y, z \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Therefore $B_S(1, 2) = \{y \in \mathbb{R} ; S(y, y, 1) < 2\}$ = $\{y \in \mathbb{R} ; |y - 1| < 1\} = (0, 2).$

Definition 1.9: Let (X, S) be an S-metric space and $A \subset X$.

- (1)If for every $x \in A$, there is a r > 0 such that $B_S(x, r) \subset A$, then the subset A called an **open subset** of X
- (2) If there is a r > 0 such that S(x, x, y) < r for all $x, y \in A$ then A is said to be S-bounded.
- (3) A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X converge to x if and only if $S(x_n, x_n, x) \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$. That is for

each $\in > 0$, there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $n \ge n_0$, $S(x_n, x_n, x) < \in$ and we denote this by $\lim_{n \to \infty} x_n = x$

- (4) A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X is called a **Cauchy sequence** if for each $\in > 0$, there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $S(x_n, x_n, x_m) < \in$ for each m, $n \ge n_0$
- (5) The S-metric space (X, S) is said to be **complete** if every Cauchy sequence is convergent sequence.
- (6) Let τ be the set of all $A \subset X$ with $x \in A$ if and only if there exists r > 0 such that $B_S(x, r) \subset A$. Then τ is a topology on X (induced by the S-metric S).
- (7) If (X, τ) is a compact topological space we shall call (X, S) is a **compact** S-metric

space.

Lemma1. 10([11]): Let (X, S) be an Smetric space. If r > 0 and $x \in X$, then the open ball $B_S(x, r)$ is an open subset of X.

Lemma1. 11([11): Let (X, S) be an S-metric space. If the sequence {x_n} in X converges to x, then x is unique.

Lemma1. 12([11]): Let (X, S) be an S-metric space. If the sequence {x_n} in X converges to x, then {x_n} is a Cauchy sequence.

Lemma1. 13([11]): Let (X, S) be an Smetric space. If there exists sequences $\{x_n\}$ and $\{y_n\}$ such

that
$$\lim_{n \to \infty} x_n = x$$
 and $\lim_{n \to \infty} y_n = y$,
then $\lim_{n \to \infty} S(x_{n,x_n,y_n}) = S(x, x, y)$.

Lemma 1. 14: Let (X, d) be a metric space.

Then we have

- 1. $S_d(x, y, z) = d(x, y) + d(y, z) + d(z, x)$ for all $x, y, z \in X$ is an S-metric on X
- 2. $x_n \to x$ in (X, d) if and only if $X_n \to x$ in (X, S_d)
- 3. $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in (X, d) if and only if $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in (X, S_d)

- 4. (X, d) is complete if and only if (X, S_d) is complete Proof: (1) See [Example (3), Page 260]

 (2) $x_n \to x$ in (X, d) if and only if $d(x_n, x)$ $\to 0$, if and only if $S_d(x_n, x_n, x) = 3d(x_n, x)$ $\to 0$ that is, $x_n \to x$ in (X, S_d)
- (3) $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy in (X, d) if and only if $d(x_n, x_m) \to 0$ as $n, m \to \infty$, if and only if $S_d(x_n, x_n, x_m) = 3d(x_n, x_m) \to 0$ $n, m \to \infty$, that is, $\{x_n\}$ is Cauchy in (X, S_d)
- (4) It is a direct consequence of (2) and (3)

Notation: For any selfmap T of X, we denote T(x) by Tx.

If P and Q are selfmaps of a set X, then any $z \in X$ such that Pz = Qz = z is called a **common fixed point** of P and Q.

Two selfmaps P and Q of X are said to be **commutative** if PQ = QP where PQ is their composition PoQ defined by (PoQ) x = PQx for all $x \in X$.

Definition 1.15: Suppose P and Q are selfmaps of a S-metric space (X, S) satisfying the condition $Q(X) \subseteq P(X)$. Then for any $x_0 \in X$, $Qx_0 \in Q(X)$ and hence $Qx_0 \in P(X)$, so that there is a $x_1 \in X$ with $Qx_0 = Px_1$, since $Q(X) \subseteq P(X)$. Now $Qx_1 \in Q(X)$ and hence there is a $x_2 \in X$ with $Qx_2 \in Q(X) \subseteq P(X)$ so that $Qx_1 = Px_2$. Again $Qx_2 \in Q(X) \subseteq P(X)$ so that $Qx_1 = Px_2$. Again $Qx_2 \in Q(X) \subseteq P(X)$ so that $Qx_1 = Px_2$. Again $Qx_2 \in Q(X) \subseteq P(X)$

Q(X) and hence $Qx_2 \in P(X)$ with $Qx_2 = Px_3$. Thus repeating this process to each $x_0 \in X$, we get a sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X such that $Qx_n = Px_{n+1}$ for $n \ge 0$. We shall call this sequence as an **associated sequence of** x_0 **relative to the two selfmaps P and Q.** It may be noted that there may be more than one associated sequence for a point $x_0 \in X$ relative to selfmaps P and Q.

Let P and Q are selfmaps of a S-metric space (X, S) such that $Q(X) \subseteq P(X)$. For any $x_0 \in X$, if $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence in X such that $Qx_n = Px_{n+1}$ for $n \ge 0$, then $\{x_n\}$ is called an **associated sequence** of x_0 relative to the two selfmaps P and Q.

Definition 1.16: A function $\emptyset: [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$ is said to be a **contractive modulus**, if $\emptyset(0) = 0$ and $\emptyset(t) < t$ for t > 0.

Definition 1.17: A real valued function \emptyset defined on $X \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ is said to be **upper semi continuous**, if $\limsup_{n \to \infty} \emptyset(t_n) \leq \emptyset$ (t) for every sequence $\{t_n\}$ in X with $t_n \to t$ as $n \to \infty$.

Definition 1.18: If P and Q are selfmaps of a S-metric space (X, S) such that for every sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X with $\lim_{n\to\infty} Px_n = \lim_{n\to\infty} Qx_n = t$, we have

 $\lim_{n\to\infty} S(PQx_n, QPx_n, QPx_n) = 0, \text{ then we say}$ that P and Q are **compatible.**

THE MAIN RESULTS:

- **2.1 Theorem.** Suppose P, T, I and J be selfmaps of a S-metric space (X, S) satisfying the conditions
 - (i) $P(X) \subseteq J(X)$ and $T(X) \subseteq I$ (X)
 - (ii) $S(Px, Ty, Ty) \le \rho(x, y)$ for all x, $y \in X$, where

(ii)' ρ (x , y) = max{S(Ix, Jy, Jy), S(Ix, Px, Px), S(Jy, Ty, Ty), $\frac{1}{2}$ S(Ix, Ty, Ty), $\frac{1}{2}$ S(Jy, Px, Px)} for x, y \in X

- (iii) P, T, I and J are continuous.
- (iv) the pairs (P, I) and (T, J) are compatible, and
 - (v) there is a point $x_0 \in X$ and an associated sequence $\{x_n\}$ of x_0 relative to the four selfmaps such that the sequences $\{Px_{2n}\}$ and $\{Tx_{2n+1}\}$ converge to some point $z \in X$

(vi) there exists $(a, b) \in X^2$ such that $f(a, b) = \sup_{(x,y) \in X^2} f(x,y)$,

where

(vi)'
$$f(x, y) = \frac{S(Px, Ty, Ty)}{\rho(x,y)}$$

then P, T, I and J have a unique common fixed point $z \in X$. also z is the unique fixed point for the pair (P, I) and for the pair (T, J).

Proof: First suppose that $\rho(x', y') = 0$ for some $x', y' \in X$. Then

- (2. 1. 1) $\max\{S(Ix', Jy', Jy'), S(Ix', Px', Px'), S(Jy', Ty', Ty'), \frac{1}{2}S(Ix', Ty', Ty'), \frac{1}{2}S(Jy', Px', Px')\} = 0,$ which implies
- (2.1.2) Ix' = Px' = Jy' = Ty', and also
- (2. 1. 3) $PIx' = P(Px') = P^2x'$ and
- (2. 1. 4) $TJy' = T(Ty') = T^2y'$. Now since the pair (P, I) is compatible, we have
- (2.1.5) $\lim_{n \to \infty} S(PIy_n, IPy_n, IPy_n) = 0$

whenever Py_n , $Iy_n \to t$ as $n \to \infty$ for some $t \in X$. Letting $y_n = x'$ for $n \ge 1$, then $Py_n \to Px'$ and $Iy_n \to Ix'$ as $n \to \infty$. Therefore (2.1.5) gives that S(PIx', IPx', IPx') = 0 or PIx' = IPx'. Also since $IPx' = P^2x' = PIx'$ and Jy' = Ty' we get

$$\begin{split} \rho(Px',\,y') &= \max \ \{S(IPx',\,Jy',\,Jy'),\,S(IPx',\,P^2\\ x',\,P^2x'),\,S(Jy',\,Ty',\,Ty'),\frac{1}{2}\,S(IPx',\,Ty',\,Ty'),\\ \frac{1}{2}\,S(Jy',\,P^2x',\,P^2x')\} \\ &= \max \ \{S(P^2x',\,Ty',\,Ty'),\,0,\,0,\,\frac{1}{2}\\ S(P^2x',\,Ty',\,Ty'),\frac{1}{2}\,S(P^2x',\,Ty',\,Ty')\} \\ &= S(P^2x',\,Ty',\,Ty').\,\, \text{That is}\\ \textbf{(2. 1. 6)}\,\,\rho(Px',\,y') &= S(P^2x',\,Ty',\,Ty') \end{split}$$

(2.1.7) $S(P^2x', Ty', Ty') < \rho(Px', y')$ Thus (2.1.6) and (2.1.7) contradict each other if $Ty' \neq P^2x'$. Therefore $P^2x' = Ty'$. Further, from (2. 1. 2)

Now if $Ty' \neq P^2x'$, then by (ii), we have

(2. 1. 8) P²x' = Ty' = P(Px') = PTy' and so Ty' = z(say) is a fixed point of P. Again, by (2. 1. 2)

(2. 1. 9) Iz = ITy' = IPx' = PTy' = Pz = z. Therefore Pz = Iz = z, showing that z is a common fixed point of P and I. Again since the pair (T, J) is compatible, we have $\lim_{n\to\infty} S(TJy_n, JTy_n, JTy_n) = 0$. Whenever $Ty_{n,n}$, $Jy_n \to t$ as $n \to \infty$ for some $t \in X$. Taking $y_n = y'$, we find that $Ty_n \to Ty'$, $Jy_n \to Jy'$ as $n \to \infty$. Therefore (2.1.5) gives that (2. 1. 10) S(TJy', JTy', JTy') = 0 or TJy' = JTy'.

Now if $Px' \neq T^2y'$, then by (ii), we have **(2.1.11)** $S(Px', T^2y', T^2y') < \rho(x', Ty')$ But, by (2. 1. 2) and (2. 1. 4)we have

 $\rho(x', Ty') = \max \{S(Ix', JTy', JTy'), S(Ix', Px', Px'), S(JTy', T^2y', T^2y'), \frac{1}{2} S(Ix', T^2y', T^2y'), \frac{1}{2} S(JTy', Px', Px')\}$

 $= S(Px', T^2y', T^2y')$. That is,

(2. 1. 12) ρ (x', Ty') = S(Px', T²y', T²y')

Thus (2. 1. 11) and (2. 1. 12) contradict each other if $Px' \neq T^2y'$.

Therefore $Px' = T^2y'$. Hence, by (2. 1.10) and (2. 1. 2), we have

(2. 1. 13) $Px' = T^2y' = T(Ty') = TJy' = JTy' = JPx'$, showing that Px' = z' is a fixed point of J. Further

(2. 1. 14) Tz' = TPx' = TJy' = JTy' = JPx' = Jz' = z' and therefore Tz' = Jz' = z', showing that z' is a common fixed point of T and J. Now we prove that z = z'.

First note that, if $z \neq z'$, then by (ii), we have (2. 1. 15) $S(z, z', z') = S(Pz, Tz', Tz') < \rho(z, z')$. But

(2. 1. 16) ρ (z,, z') = max {S(Iz, Jz', Jz'), S(Iz, Pz, Pz), S(Jz', Tz', Tz'), $\frac{1}{2}$ S(Iz, Tz', Tz'), $\frac{1}{2}$ S(Jz', Pz, Pz)} = 0

= max {S(z, z', z'), 0, 0, $\frac{1}{2}$ S(z, z',

 $z'), \frac{1}{2}S(z, z', z')\}$

= S(z, z', z'),

Since (2. 1. 15) and (2. 1. 16) contradict each other if $z \neq z'$, it follows that

z = z'. Hence z is the unique common fixed point of P, T, I and J.

Now suppose that $\rho(x, y) > 0$ for all $x, y \in X$, so that f(x, y) is well defined. Now by the inequality (ii), we find that f(x, y) < 1 for all $x, y \in X$. Hence if c = f(p, q) then $c \le 1$, so that $f(x, y) \le c$ for all $x, y \in X$ and therefore, from (vi)' $S(Px, Ty, Ty) \le c \rho(x, y)$ for all $x, y \in X$

Since, by hypothesis, all the conditions of the corollary holds for the four selfmaps P, T, I and J; it follows that they have a common fixed point $z \in X$. Further z is the unique common fixed point of P and I; and of T and J.

To prove the uniqueness of z, let w be another common fixed point of P, T, I and J. If $w \ne z$, then by (ii), we have

(2. 1. 17)
$$S(z, w, w) = S(Pz, Tw, Tw) < \rho(z, w)$$

(2. 1. 18)
$$\rho$$
 (z, w) = max {S(Iz, Jw, Jw),
S(Iz, Pz, Pz), S(Jw, Tw, Tw), $\frac{1}{2}$ S(Iz, Tw,
Tw), $\frac{1}{2}$ S(Jw, Pz, Pz)}

$$= \max \{S(z, w, w), 0, 0, 0, \frac{1}{2}S(z, w, w), \frac{1}{2}S(z, w, w)\}$$

$$= S(z, w, w),$$

Now (2. 1. 17) and (2. 1. 18) contradict each other if $z \neq w$. Therefore z = w, showing z is the unique common fixed point

of P, T, I and J. Further z is the unique common fixed point of P and I; and of T and J.

Now we prove some consequences of Theorem 2. 1

2.2 Corollary: Suppose (X, S) is a S-metric space and P, T, I and J are selfmaps of X satisfying conditions (i), (ii), (iii) and (iv) of Theorem 2.1. Further, if (X, S) is compact, then P, T, I and J have a unique common fixed point z. Also z is the unique common fixed point for the pair P and I; and for the pair T and J.

Proof: Since (X, S) is a compact S-metric space, it is complete and therefore for each $x_0 \in X$ and for any associated sequence $\{x_n\}$ of x_0 relative to four selfmaps such that the sequences $\{Px_{2n}\}$ and $\{Tx_{2n+1}\}$ converge to some $z \in X$ and hence condition (v) of Theorem 2.1 holds. Also, if (X, S) is compact S-metric space, then f(x, y) is a continuous function on the compact S-metric space X^2 . Therefore we can find $(a, b) \in X^2$ such that $f(a, b) = \sup_{(x,y) \in X^2} f(x,y)$, proving that the condition (vi) of the Theorem 2.1. Hence by Theorem 2.1, the conclusion of the corollary follows.

2.3 Corollary ([5]): Suppose P, T, I and J are four selfmaps of metric space (X, d) such that

- (i) $P(X) \subseteq J(X)$ and $T(X) \subseteq I(X)$
- $\label{eq:continuous} \begin{array}{ll} (ii) & & d(Px,\,Ty) \leq \rho_0(x,\,y) \text{ for all } x,\\ y \in X. \end{array}$

where

$$\begin{split} \rho_0(x,\ y) \ = \ max \ \{d(Ix,\ Jy),\ d(Ix,\\ Px), \, d(Jy,\,Ty), \frac{1}{2}\,d(Ix,\,Ty), \frac{1}{2}\,d(Jy,\,Px)\} \end{split}$$

- (iii) P, T, I and J are continuous on X. and
- (iv) PI=IP and TJ = JT, further if
- (v) X is compact.

Then the four selfmaps P, T, I and J have a unique common fixed point $z \in X$. Also z is the unique common fixed point of P and I; and of T and J.

Proof: Given (X, d) is a metric space satisfying condition (i) to (v) of the If $S(x, y, z) = max\{d(x, y, z)\}$ corollary. y), d(y, z), d(z, x)}, then (X, S) is a S-metric S(x, y, x) = d(x, y). Therefore (ii) can be written as $S(Px, Ty, Ty) < \rho(x, y)$ for all $x, y \in X$, where $\rho(x, y) = \max \{S(Ix, y) \in X\}$ Jy, Jy), S(Ix, Px, Px), S(Jy, Ty, Ty), $\frac{1}{2}$ S(Ix, Ty, Ty), $\frac{1}{2}$ S(Py, Tx, Tx)}, which is the same as condition (ii) of Theorem 2.1. Also since (X, d) is complete, we have (X, S) is complete, by Corollary 1.14. Now P and T are selfmaps on (X, S) satisfying conditions of Corollary 2.2 and hence the corollary follows.

REFERENCES

Aliouche, A., Sedghi, S., & Shobe, N. (2012). A generalization of fixed point theorems in \$S\$-metric spaces. *Matematički Vesnik*, *64*(249), 258–266. http://eudml.org/doc/253803

Dhage, B. C. (1992). Generalised metric spaces and mappings with fixed point. *Bulletin of the Calcutta Mathematical Society*, *84*(4), 329–336.

Dhage, B. C. (1999). A common fixed point principle in D- metric spaces. *Bulletin of the Calcutta Mathematical Society*, *91*(6), 475–480.

Dhage, B. C., Pathan, A. M., & Rhoades, B. E. (2000). A general existence principle for fixed point theorems in D- metric spaces. *International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences*, *23*(7), 441–448. https://doi.org/10.1155/S016117120000158

Fisher, B. (1983). Common fixed points of four mappings. *Bulletin of the Institute of Mathematics*, *Academia Sinica*, *11*, 103–113.

Gahler, S. (1963). 2-metrische Raume und iher topoloische Struktur. *Mathematische Nachrichten*, *26*, 115–148.

Naidu, S. V. R., Rao, K. P. R., & Srinivasa Rao, N. (2004). On the topology of D- metric spaces and Generalization of D- metric spaces from Metric Spaces. *International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences*, *2004*(51), 2719–

2740. https://doi.org/10.1155/S01611712043112
57

Naidu, S. V. R., Rao, K. P. R., & Srinivasa Rao, N. (2005). On the concepts of balls in a D-metric spaces. *International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences*, *2005*(1), 133–141. https://doi.org/10.1155/IJMMS.2005.133

Naidu, S. V. R., Rao, K. P. R., & Srinivasa Rao, N. (2005). On convergent sequences and fixed point theorems in D- metric spaces. *International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences*, *2005*(12), 1969–1988. https://doi.org/10.1155/IJMMS.2005.1969

Rhoades, B. E., Ahmad, B., & Ashraf, M. (2001). Fixed point theorems for expansive mappings in D- metric spaces. *Indian Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, *32*(10), 1513–1518.

Sedghi, S., Shobe, N., & Zhou, H. (2007). A common fixed point theorem in D*-metric spaces. *Fixed Point Theory and Applications*, *2007*, Article ID 27906. https://doi.org/10.1155/2007/27906